

RESOURCE GUIDE

**SPARKING EARLY LITERACY GROWTH IN WEST VIRGINIA: SCHOOL READINESS,
INSTRUCTION & EXTENDED LEARNING**



**West Virginia Public Education Collaborative
WVPEC@mail.wvu.edu**

Full Proposal Deadline: February 28, 2021



The purpose of the Sparking Early Literacy Growth in West Virginia Grant is to solicit innovative projects to support the early literacy growth of West Virginia children Birth to Age 8 who are in high-risk populations/communities and/or high-need educational support networks/ programs/schools. Public and

private schools, early care and early childhood programs, after school and summer learning programs, nonprofit organizations, colleges and universities, and other literacy focused stakeholders/communities/public organizations in West Virginia are encouraged to apply. This resource guide supports the detailed grant guidelines and information outlined in the grant's Request for Proposals (RFP) document. The guide provides specific resources on the grant's three funding priorities: school readiness, instructional practices and innovations, and extended learning (Parts IV, V, & VI) as well as additional information* applicants should consider when crafting a proposal for review. Topics of this guide include:

- Key findings from research on early literacy
- West Virginia student achievement data
- Resources focused on the grant's three funding priorities: school readiness, instructional practices and innovations, and extended learning
- Examples of early literacy initiatives in West Virginia
- Recommendations for effective professional learning
- Glossary of early literacy terms and links to additional resources (appendices)

*Descriptions of resources presented in this guide are cited/referenced directly from the source. Please use the attached links for full access to the websites, reports, articles, etc.

Table of Contents

PART I: IMPORTANCE OF EARLY LITERACY	4
PART II: WEST VIRGINIA STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA	6
PART III: CURRENT EARLY LITERACY INITIATIVES IN WV	8
PART IV: GRANT FOCUS	10
I. SCHOOL READINESS	10
NATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS	10
PROMISING PRACTICES	10
WEST VIRGINIA INITIATIVES	11
II. INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES & INNOVATIONS	12
NATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS	12
PROMISING PRACTICES	15
WEST VIRGINIA INITIATIVES	16
III. EXTENDED LEARNING	18
NATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS	18
PROMISING PRACTICES	19
WEST VIRGINIA INITIATIVES	21
PART V: TEACHER PROFESSIONAL LEARNING	25
PART VI: ASSESSING STUDENT LITERACY LEARNING	27
APPENDIX A:	31
COMPONENTS OF EARLY LITERACY – GLOSSARY OF EARLY LITERACY TERMS	31
APPENDIX B:	34
WEST VIRGINIA STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT MAPS	34
APPENDIX C:	38
WEST VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS PREK – 3 LOW SES DATA BY COUNTY	38
APPENDIX D:	40
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES	40

Part I: Importance of Early Literacy

Comprehensive literacy achievement and the gap between marginalized and privileged students has been a concern for educational policymakers, administrators, teachers, and families for many years. Legislative efforts, funding mandates, and research-based prescribed practices around literacy learning – reading and writing – have been prominent elements of reform for decades. Frontline professionals from a variety of education-focused groups have worked to provide opportunities for literacy learners from Birth through Age 8. Early childhood professionals—including child care providers for children Birth-3, home visitors, and public libraries among others—promote childhood literacy learning starting from Birth. PreK-12 schools and professional organizations support literacy learning through classroom instruction in public schools, private schools, and their respective extracurricular and summer programming. Still, student literacy achievement, and the gap between student populations, has remained a significant problem plaguing education.

In 2019, the National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), also known as the Nation’s Report card, released the scores of the reading assessments of US school students, grades 4 and 8. Fourth-grade student reading proficiency is not promising with 35% of students performing below basic, 31% at basic, 26% at proficient, and 9% performing at an advanced level. Stated another way, 66% of fourth-grade students in the US are reading at a basic or below basic level. Closer to home, West Virginia NAEP results show the state’s fourth grade students’ performance trailed the national average with 40% reading below basic, 30% at basic, 24% at proficient, and 6% performing at an advanced level (2019). As such, in the state of West Virginia, 70% of fourth-grade students are reading at a basic or below basic level. What is more, NAEP performance in fourth grade reading in West Virginia has remained relatively unchanged during the past two decades of the congressionally mandated assessment.

This is a colossal problem.

Literacy researchers and educators generally accept the hypothesis that learning to read, unlike learning to speak, is not a natural process (Educational Advisory Board, 2019). As such, 95% of all learners *can* learn to read. For the other 5%, those with severe cognitive impairments, learning to read is extremely difficult and reading even at a basic level may be a skill some may never achieve. Of those 95% of students who can learn to read, roughly one-third will become proficient in reading regardless of the instructional approach. So, while reading does not come naturally for these students, learning to read is not quite as challenging as for others. Of the students who can learn to read, 50% will require systematic and explicit instruction, perhaps with multiple approaches and modalities from highly qualified early elementary teachers. However, the remaining 15% of learners will require years of intensive interventions with no guarantee of reaching a basic level of literacy. These are the students for whom this grant is a priority.

Key takeaways of the research influencing this grant initiative include:

Learning to read and learning to write are reciprocal processes and equally essential components of literacy. The literacy field has been debating the best approaches to reading instruction for decades. Writing instruction, on the other hand, has not always received the same attention. The most widely recognized contemporary definition of literacy includes both reading and writing. Additionally listening, speaking, viewing, and visually representing are also key components contributing to an overall definition

of literacy (International Literacy Association, 2018c). While always important, there has been significant momentum in advocacy for the reciprocal relationship between reading and writing. Reading and writing skills, sometimes called English Language Arts, can no longer be taught in isolation and in a decentralized way. When literacy learners engage with text and read, they learn about writing by engaging with sentence structure, grammar, and text organization. Conversely, when literacy learners write, they learn about reading and engage with reading comprehension, word choices, and fluency. Reading informs writing as much as writing informs reading.

Early literacy learning has been supported by decades of research. The early literacy skills that the National Early Literacy Panel (NELP) research synthesis found to be central for the later development of literacy skills such as decoding, oral reading fluency, reading comprehension, writing, and spelling include (1) knowing the names of printed letters and the sounds associated with them, (2) being able to manipulate the sounds of spoken language, (3) knowing some of the conventions of English print, including how to use a book or other printed materials include, (4) being able to recognize and identify environmental print, and (5) knowing how to put concepts, thoughts, and ideas into spoken words, and understanding other people when they talk (2008).

Learning to read and learning to write follows a developmental continuum beginning at Birth. Educators must teach the whole child, taking into account foundational knowledge of child development, social and cultural contexts, and learning needs across a student's cognitive, social, emotional, and physical development (National Association for the Education of Young Children, 2009). All children learn at varying rates, and their experience and instruction needs to reflect a more personalized approach to learning. As a developmental process, early experiences have an acute effect on a child's learning and later comprehension of written and spoken text. Further, the developmental continuum includes an assertion that children learn best when they establish a safe and respectful relationship with adults and peers.

Learning to read and learning to write is a right. In May 2020, the Sixth US Circuit Court of Appeals issued a landmark decision, in response to a lawsuit filed on behalf of seven Detroit students, ruling that children have the right to "a basic minimum education." Literacy, the finding asserts, is a fundamental right for civic participation. The International Literacy Association (ILA) has operationalized components of this right through excellent literacy instruction that includes: (1) focus on knowledgeable highly qualified literacy educators, (2) integrated support systems, (3) supportive learning environments, and (4) policies to ensure equitable literacy instruction (2010).

Part II: West Virginia Student Achievement Data

This section provides a narrative overview of West Virginia’s student achievement data based on the 2019 3rd Grade West Virginia Summative Assessment published by the West Virginia Department of Education (WVDE). Student achievement maps that accompany this data can be found in Appendix B.

The statewide average for third grade reading proficiency in school year 2019 was about 44% (See Appendix B: Figure 1). However, district-wide proficiency rates ranged from under 20% to 59% (WVDE, 2019). Twenty-two of the 55 districts had proficiency rates at or above the state average, and three districts had rates at or below 25%. Higher-performing districts tend to be located either in more urban areas (Wood, Ohio, Monongalia) or close to the state border (Hampshire, Jefferson, Cabell). Lower-performing districts appear to be more concentrated in the central and southern parts of the state.

School-level data on proficiency rates show that even greater variation exists between schools than between districts (See Appendix B: Figure 3). Harrison county, for example, has four schools with proficiency rates above 50%, and four other schools with proficiency rates at or below 33% (WVDE, 2019). Kanawha county also – unsurprising given its size – has a lot of variation among schools. Across all elementary schools in the state, school-wide proficiency rates range from 12.5% to 83.9% – a much wider range than the district-level rates, which range from 19% to 59%. Statistically-speaking, this increase in variation should be expected; nevertheless, it highlights the importance of looking beyond the district-level in trying to identify and understand where the need for intervention is the greatest.

Achievement data suggest that students classified as “low socio-economic status (SES)” (Figure 1) and/or “Special Education” (Figure 2) tend to perform lower than the overall average on state assessments for reading (WVDE, 2019). Districts with the highest enrollment numbers of low SES and Special Education students are also districts with the highest enrollment overall. In terms of achievement, these districts vary: some are in the highest quartile for performance (e.g. Wood and Monongalia), while others sit closer to the state average (e.g. Mercer and Harrison.) Given the high total enrollment numbers, student subgroups are less likely to have a dramatic effect on district-wide averages. However, higher concentrations of these students point to areas with greatest opportunity to help the largest number of students with need.

Additionally, as of School Year 2019, 280 of 406 elementary schools (69%) in West Virginia were eligible for Title I funding (See Appendix B: Figure 4). Title I provides financial assistance to Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) and schools with high numbers or percentages of poor children to help ensure that all children meet challenging state academic standards (WVDE, 2019). LEAs target Title I funds to schools with the highest percentages of children from low-income families. Unless a participating school is operating a schoolwide program, the school must focus Title I services on children who are failing, or most at risk of failing, to meet state academic standards. Schools in which low SES children make up at least 40% of enrollments are

eligible to use Title I funds for schoolwide programs to serve all children in the school. Additionally, LEAs utilize Title I funds to provide academic enrichment services to eligible children enrolled in private schools.

Part III: Current Early Literacy Initiatives in WV

This section describes the current state large-scale initiatives that West Virginia has pursued to help close the literacy gap and increase student literacy achievement by third grade. For information on WV initiatives specific to school readiness, extended learning and instructional practices and innovation, please see Part IV, V and VI.

- In 2014, the West Virginia Board of Education crafted a legislative policy called the Transformative System of Support for Early Literacy (18 W.V.C. §18-2E-10) to ensure a comprehensive statewide approach to closing the literacy achievement gap by the third grade.
- The West Virginia Leaders of Literacy: Campaign for Grade Level Reading serves as the organizing body to help close the reading achievement gap for West Virginia’s children. To yield long term gains in student achievement, partnerships between stakeholders at the national, state, and local levels help ensure all young children in West Virginia are provided ample opportunities to establish positive dispositions toward literacy learning. These efforts are aligned with the national Campaign for Grade Level Reading focuses on school readiness, chronic absenteeism, summer learning loss, family engagement, the health determinants to early school success, and state-level outreach.
 - A strong partnership with The June Harless Center at Marshall University allows for the employment of five Early Literacy Specialists to work on the Campaign for Grade-Level Reading.
 - Each of the 55 county school districts in West Virginia is assigned to one of the Early Literacy Specialists. The Early Literacy Specialists work to serve WV communities by connecting stakeholders with research and resources in order to provide best practices in literacy education for every child.
 - The Early Literacy Specialists work with county school districts, community partners, and families to share best practices for building literacy skills in children starting at Birth. The focus of this work involves four components: school readiness, attendance, high-quality instruction, and extended learning.
 - **School Readiness:** One of the major initiatives to support families with early literacy development is to provide access to high-quality books in the home. Each of the 55 counties participate in the Dolly Parton’s Imagination Library program to support their efforts with this initiative.
 - **Attendance:** The goal of the Campaign for Grade-Level Reading is to decrease the percentage of students that are chronically absent. The Early Literacy Specialists work with each county to develop a plan to address attendance issues specific to their students and families.
 - **High-Quality Instruction:** Each county has the opportunity to receive support in their professional development plans for their staff. Such support might include

professional development training, coaching support, and resources and research of best practices for high-quality instruction.

- **Extended Learning:** County literacy teams are encouraged to consider the opportunities children have in their community for both afterschool and summer programming. A major focus is on opportunities for learning over the summer to reduce the summer slide.
 - Also, during the academic year, the hours after the school day ends—from 2 p.m. to 6 p.m.--are a peak opportunity to support students' learning. Afterschool and summer learning programs provide supplemental education and support to help close academic and opportunity gaps among students most in need.

Part IV: Grant Focus

i. School Readiness

In West Virginia, **school readiness** refers to the process of ensuring children have access to the best available resources before entering first grade. Available resources support children and their families and focus on maximizing children’s holistic development from Birth. Research shows that learning begins long before a child enters kindergarten. Children, even infants, soak up words, rhymes, songs, and images. Most language acquisition occurs prior to children entering school, so it is important to provide a language-rich environment to ensure robust vocabulary development.

National Recommendations

- The Early Childhood Learning & Knowledge Center states that school readiness is foundational across early childhood systems and programs. It means children are ready for school, families are ready to support their children's learning, and schools are ready for children. Head Start views school readiness as children possessing the skills, knowledge, and attitudes necessary for success in school and for later learning and life. Physical, cognitive, social, and emotional development are all essential ingredients of school readiness. Managers, teaching staff, caregivers, family advocates, and families can learn more about creating enriching and supportive learning environments for young children Ages Birth to 5.

Promising Practices

- National Center on Improving Literacy (NCIL) Resource Repository: NCIL is funded by the U.S. Department of Education (ED) and provides access to evidence-based approaches that can be used to screen, identify, and teach students with literacy-related disabilities.
- Phonological Awareness in Early Childhood Literacy Development: The International Literacy Association maintains that phonological awareness has a critical role in early literacy and language development (2019b). Purposeful, efficient, and developmentally appropriate instruction in phonological awareness can support young children’s literacy and language development and help them understand how to decode and spell words, particularly when combined with instruction in both alphabet and vocabulary knowledge.
- What Effective Pre-K Literacy Instruction Looks Like: This brief shares some effective strategies for building literacy skills with young children and lists policy recommendations for early literacy

achievement (ILA, 2018d).

West Virginia Initiatives

- According to the [National Institute of Early Education Research](#), West Virginia Department of Education's Universal Pre-K program, which enrolls four-year-old and three-year-old children with special needs, ranks 8th in the country for access and quality (Friedman-Krauss et al., 2019).
 - The participation rate for four-year-olds for the 2018-19 academic year is 78%. The WVDE Office of Early and Elementary Learning determines the four-year-old participation rate based on children enrolled in kindergarten during the 2019 school year compared with children who participated in pre-k for the 2018 school year (2020).

- The West Virginia Early Childhood Planning Task Force (2014) established a scope of work for a coordinated data system, professional development of early childhood providers, developmental screening, and families as teachers. The task force created four outcomes. Outcome Area Early Learning and Development Outcome statement: Children have positive early learning experiences
 - 3.1. Children under age 5 in at least one quality early learning program
 - 3.2. Children ready for kindergarten
 - 3.3. Children proficient in reading by the end of the third grade
 - 3.4. Early learning programs that meet quality standards

ii. Instructional Practices & Innovations

In West Virginia, high-quality **instruction** must be implemented, and student learning must be monitored from pre-k through 3rd grade. High-quality English Language Arts instruction during the early learning years involves immersion in a literacy-rich environment to develop awareness and understanding of spoken and written language. Through active participation in developmentally appropriate and engaging learning experiences, students develop competencies in reading, writing, listening, speaking and media literacy. To support the development of lifelong learning and global awareness, students should be given regular opportunities to participate in language experiences through developmentally appropriate contexts, utilize 21st century skills, and equally employ literary and informational texts of appropriate complexity.

National Recommendations

For full description of the resources in the left column, refer to appendix.

Table 1.

Resource	O r a l L a n g u a g e	P h o n e m i c A w a r e n e s s	P h o n i c s	V o c a b u l a r y	F l u e n c y	C o m p r e h e n s i o n	W r i t i n g	M o t i v a t i o n
<p>The National Reading Panel (2000) published the report, <u>Teaching Children to Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature and its Implication for Reading Instruction</u>, which identifies the most important skills for children to become good readers.</p>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	NA

<p>The National Early Literacy Panel convened in 2002 to synthesize the most rigorous scientific research on the development of early literacy skills in children from Birth to Age 5. The National Institute for Literacy (NIL) reviewed and compiled these findings in <u>Early Beginnings Early Literacy Knowledge and Instruction</u>. The early literacy skills that NELP found to be most important for the later development of literacy skills such as decoding, oral reading fluency, reading comprehension, writing, and spelling (NIL, 2009).</p>	✓	✓	✓					
<p>The Collaborative Classroom (2019) published a white paper titled <u>The Settled Science of Teaching Reading</u>, which explains the importance of literacy instruction that supports the developmental process of reading on a continuum of learning (Stukey, Fugnitto, Fraser, & Sawyer, 2019).</p>			✓					
<p>The International Literacy Association (2019a) published a Literacy Leadership Brief titled <u>Meeting the Challenges of Early Literacy Phonics Instruction</u>, which outlines the importance of explicit and systematic phonics instruction and describes seven key characteristics of effective phonics instruction.</p>		✓	✓					
<p><u>Teaching Reading is Rocket Science</u> was published by the American Federation of Teachers and Center for Development and Learning as an update to their original piece, published in 1999. Together they acknowledge that, although some progress has been made in teaching reading effectively, too few students who are at-risk, disadvantaged, and Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) become proficient readers (Moats, 2020). In support of implementing the science of reading, the organizations note the importance of a core curriculum on effective literacy instruction for pre-service and in-service teachers.</p>			✓	✓	✓	✓		

<p><u>Teaching Writing to Improve Reading Skills</u>, published by the International Literacy Association (2020c), describes the reciprocal relationship between reading and writing.</p>						✓	
<p>The National Council of Teachers of English's (2008) policy brief, <u>Writing Now</u>, addresses the notion that writing, a necessary skill, cannot be taught by applying a single approach due to the diverse backgrounds and knowledge and learning styles of learners.</p>						✓	

Promising Practices

- Report: Best Practices in K-12 Literacy Models – In the following report, Hanover Research (2014) examines the need for effective reading and literacy education, and the role of assessment in literacy instruction. In addition, the report reviews four models designed to promote literacy education for all students.
- Instructional Practice – Looping
 - Looping is when educators continue teaching their class into the next grade level. In a few studies, this practice has been shown to have a positive effect on student achievement scores for students who were assigned to the same teacher for a second time in a higher grade (Hill & Jones, 2018). Additionally, educators have noted a positive correlation between looping and the students’ social and emotional well-being (Wedenoja, 2019). For more information on this practice, please see the following articles:
 - A teacher who knows me: The academic benefits of repeat student-teacher matches
 - Teacher Looping Improves Student Outcomes
- ILA Position Statement and Research Brief: Digital Resources in Early Childhood Literacy Development - The International Literacy Association (2019c) maintains that rich, digital resources have a place in early childhood literacy development. Careful, intentional, and developmentally appropriate use of digital texts and tools can build young children’s language and literacy skills while providing young children with opportunities to deepen their understanding about the forms and functions of digital text in meaning making.
- ILA Literacy Leadership Brief: Improving Digital Practices for Literacy, Learning, and Justice More Than Just Tools - Instead of placing trust in the latest gadget, faith must be placed in the expertise of teachers to sustain classrooms that reflect the contexts of learning that are encountered outside of schools and in the real world (ILA, 2018b). By weaving digital resources into a caring vision of literacy learning, educators can better prepare youth for civic, academic, and vocational pathways beyond schools.
- National Center on Improving Literacy (NCIL) Resource Repository - NCIL is funded by the U.S. Department of Education and provides access to evidence-based approaches that can be used to screen, identify, and teach students with literacy-related disabilities.
- Regional Educational Laboratory (REL)– Resource Roundup: Supporting early literacy development The following link includes a collection of resources from the REL network and WWC on early

literacy development (Wackwitz, 2019).

- Education Commission of the States: [Enhancing STEM in P-3 Education](#) This Policy Guide, informed by experts in early education and STEM fields, identifies policies and actions a state can adopt to bring STEM opportunities to pre-K through third-grade students (Atchison, Zinth, & Weyer, 2020).
- [The Role of Books and Reading in STEM: An Overview of the Benefits for Children and the Opportunities to Enhance the field](#) - STEM Next and The Molina Foundation have extensive experience working with early childhood centers, after-school programs and K-12 campuses throughout the nation (Popov, Tinkler, Tore, & Meschen, 2017). They have seen that the strongest STEM educational programs interweave literacy-rich materials with hands-on investigation and experimentation. Among the findings in the enclosed 31-page report:
 - To learn STEM vocabulary, students need multiple exposures to target words and opportunities to engage in reading, writing, and speaking practice.
 - There is a lack of culturally and linguistically relevant STEM books for underserved children.
 - Although limited, there are strong programs, organizations, and materials that are helping bridge the gap between STEM and literacy.
- West Virginia Tiered System of Support (WVTSS): The West Virginia Department of Education (WVDE) is committed to ensuring equitable education opportunities that include high-quality resources, strategies, and practices. The West Virginia Tiered System of Support (WVTSS) is a framework which suggests flexible use of resources to provide relevant and appropriate academic, behavioral, and mental health support to enhance learning for all students.
- [What Works Clearinghouse \(WWC\)](#) – WWC serves as a “source of scientific evidence on education programs, products, practices, and policies,” including those specific to the area of literacy. The following link provides access to the research findings on several literacy practices and programs.

West Virginia Initiatives

- Literacy Integration with the Content Areas. Using a redesigned school bus, the Marion County Leaders of Literacy team created a STEAM bus in order to introduce students in the elementary grades to constructional activities where they can learn skills in the fields of science, technology, engineering, art and math. The STEAM Bus contains stations where students build boomerangs, balloon-powered cars, Lego towers and Play Dough buildings. From week to week, the bus first traveled to area high school football games in order to be available for students to get a trial of the activities. The bus now travels to locations as needed, in order to give students at any elementary

school a taste of STEAM.

- STEM-Minded WV - STEM-minded WV is a conduit to equip students, families, and school personnel with the tools necessary to become active learners and to maintain a competitive edge in the world (WVDE, 2020).
- Garden-based learning at North Elementary in Monongalia County - North Elementary has operated a school garden since 2011. They have 30+ garden beds including a high tunnel, a newly renovated outdoor makerspace, composting, vermicomposting, and indoor grow lights and EarthBoxes for indoor classroom gardening. They have a curriculum available online with lessons suitable for a full garden program or those just beginning. Garden based learning, like all project based learning, benefits all learners, but especially those challenged groups for whom hands-on learning is best.

iii. Extended Learning

In West Virginia, **extended learning** is a critical component of the comprehensive approach to reading success by the end of third grade. Research indicates that, on average, literacy skills decline over the summer. However, not all students experience “average” losses, and the summer learning slide disproportionately affects low-income students. Low income students lose substantial ground in reading during the summer, while their higher-income peers often gain. The decline of knowledge and educational skills during the summer months is cumulative throughout a student’s career and further widens the achievement gap between low- and upper-income students (Leaders of Literacy Campaign for Grade Level Reading, 2020). In addition to the opportunity to impact students over summer months in learning, programs serving students in the hours immediately after school can reduce the learning gap between low-income students and their more privileged peers. Engaging students during this time can help provide an opportunity to provide new ways to explore material and ideas. Also, students can engage in additional learning time in a way that feels valuable and rewarding, as well as different and still complementary to the school day.

National Recommendations

- Outcomes Linked to High-Quality Afterschool Programs: Longitudinal Findings From the Study of Promising Afterschool Programs This study found positive outcomes among youth who regularly attended high-quality afterschool programs, either alone or in combination with varied sets of additional enrichment experiences available in their neighborhoods (Vandell, Resner, & Pierce, 2007). In contrast, low supervision coupled with intermittent participation in an unstructured program of extra-curricular activities posed developmental risks to both elementary school and middle school youth. The study focused on youth who are economically disadvantaged and BIPOC, many of whose families were recent immigrants. The findings demonstrate the benefits of continuous participation in high-quality afterschool programs, community activities, and supervised home settings for youth from economically disadvantaged families. These findings suggest that plans for high-quality afterschool programming should span entire communities.
- The Science of Learning and Development in Afterschool Systems and Settings (SoLD) - SoLD is a collaborative effort to combine findings from diverse areas of research, from neuroscience to human development, into an integrated science of learning and development—a body of work that can bolster the youth development field’s efforts in afterschool systems and settings to ensure that all young people have the opportunity to thrive. The SoLD research provides five findings that are relevant to all settings and systems, including youth development programs in afterschool (American Institutes for Research, 2019):
 - Each young person has the potential to learn and thrive at every stage of life.
 - Development of complex skills is a personalized journey.

- Development is malleable, from Birth to early adulthood.
 - Context is the defining influence on development.
 - Integration is essential for, and accelerates, learning.
- America After 3pm Special Report: The Growing Importance of Afterschool in Rural Communities Report & Executive Summary - Although families in rural communities are increasingly turning to afterschool and summer learning programs for their children, a review of the data finds that more can be done to help rural communities catch up with the rest of the nation (America After 3PM, 2014 & 2016). The following recommendations can help ensure that all children in rural communities have the ability to take part in quality afterschool programs that can help them succeed in and out of school.
 - Ensure information about afterschool programs is more readily available to families in rural communities.
 - Raise national attention around the important role rural afterschool programs play in their communities.
 - Provide opportunities to support and enhance the sharing of promising practices and resources.
 - Increase STEM programming in rural afterschool programs.
 - Increase investment in afterschool programs serving rural communities.
 - The Expanding Minds and Opportunities compendium has a variety of small articles on a variety of topics related to afterschool (Expanded Learning & Afterschool Project, 2013). Some of these could be pulled or the whole compendium could be used as a resource. At this site you can search and reach articles in the entire compendium.
 - Supporting Social and Emotional Development Through Quality Afterschool Programs Brief & Executive Summary - This brief provides an overview of work done to date both in afterschool and school-based settings to define social and emotional learning, shares recent research on how afterschool programs contribute to the development of these competencies, and, finally, offers some next step recommendations to both practitioners and researchers (Beyond the Bell, 2015a & 2015b).

Promising Practices

- What Does Research Say about Afterschool? Afterschool and summer learning programs are locally-designed school and community solutions that help kids learn and grow, keep children and teenagers safe, and support families to balance work with home (Afterschool Alliance, 2017). Students in programs participate in hands-on learning, discover new interests, receive nutritious snacks and meals, and have the chance to be physically active. Programs offer a broad array of

enriching activities—from learning about electricity and how to build circuits to growing vegetables and preparing healthy meals—that help students build their communications skills, learn how to work collaboratively, and foster confidence in themselves. These are foundational skills and competencies that students need as they move through school and toward adulthood.

- How Afterschool Supports Student Learning - The afterschool field is an essential part of our communities' response to the coronavirus pandemic, and programs will continue to play a critical role throughout recovery (Afterschool Alliance, 2020). Today, afterschool programs are innovating to keep kids safe and engaged in learning, help essential personnel continue to do their jobs, provide food for families in need, and stay connected with caregivers and families. As we look ahead to summer and next school year, afterschool leaders and educators stand ready to help children catch up and keep up, which includes ensuring all kids have access to learning opportunities and the supports they need to emerge from this crisis strong, resilient, and hopeful.
- Center for Early Literacy Learning (CELL) – CELL promotes the adoption and sustained use of evidence-based early learning practices. This link provides resources for a variety of stakeholders in early literacy.
- Communities in Schools - (In partnership with WVDE) Every student deserves an equitable opportunity to succeed in school and fulfill their potential. And yet, in America, nearly 13 million children live in poverty and face significant barriers to earning a high school diploma. Students from low-income communities are twice as likely to drop out of school, and children of color are often disproportionately impacted by this reality. Whether it's hunger, homelessness, emotional trauma, or a lack of access to basic medical care, students shouldn't have to face these challenges alone. At Communities In Schools, we amplify each student's potential through an evidence-based model rooted in caring relationships. Working with more than 1.6 million kids in more than 2,470 schools in 25 states and the District of Columbia, our model is designed to address poverty's impact from every angle (WVDE, 2020). We build lasting community relationships—with businesses, volunteers, agencies, healthcare providers, and educators—to help students stay focused today, so they can go further tomorrow.
- Extended Learning Toolkit - Extended learning is a critical component of the comprehensive approach to reading success by the end of third grade. The purpose of this toolkit is to provide support that encourages and assists counties in providing effective extended learning opportunities (before, after, or during the summer months). We believe that strong relationships between extended learning partners, families, school systems, and the community at large are crucial to providing high-quality out-of-school-time experiences for students (Leaders of Literacy Campaign for Grade-Level Reading, 2020). In this toolkit, you will find resources that will help to foster these

relationships and ultimately improve program effectiveness.

- [Leaders of Literacy: Campaign for Grade Level Reading Extended Learning Resources](#) – This website includes the goals for extended learning for the WV Campaign for Grade Level Reading, research about why extended learning opportunities are important, and resources to supplement existing programs (WVDE, 2020).
- [National Center on Improving Literacy \(NCIL\) Resource Repository](#) - NCIL is funded by the U.S. Department of Education and provides access to evidence-based approaches that can be used to screen, identify, and teach students with literacy-related disabilities.
- [National Summer Learning Association \(NSLA\)](#) - The National Summer Learning Association (NSLA) is a national, non-profit organization focused on the powerful impact of one achievable goal: investing in summer learning to help close the achievement gap. NSLA uses the power of research, advocacy, training, and policy to transform America’s neighborhoods and communities, one child at a time.
- [The Wallace Foundation Summer Learning Planning Toolkit](#) - During the summer, low-income students lose ground compared to their wealthier peers (Wallace Foundation, 2020). But summer can also be a time to help level the playing field through high-quality, summer learning programs that research shows produce measurable benefits in math, reading and social and emotional learning. With more than [50, evidence-based tools and resources](#)—drawn from the work of five urban school districts and their partners, and aligned with research from RAND—the Summer Learning Toolkit helps educators deliver programs that make a real difference.
- [WV ESSA Plan](#) – with specific focus on Title IV (p.74) The purpose of this title is to improve students’ academic achievement by increasing the capacity of States, LEAs, Schools, and local communities to (WVDE, 2018, p. 74).
 - Provide all students with access to a well-rounded education;
 - Improve school conditions for student learning; and
 - Improve the use of technology in order to improve the academic achievement and digital literacy of all students.

West Virginia Initiatives

- [West Virginia Statewide Afterschool Network \(WVSAN\)](#) The WVSAN works to sustain a statewide partnership to raise awareness of (West Virginia University Extension Service, 2020b):

- the importance and accessibility of high-quality afterschool and summer learning programs for all school age children
 - share criteria of effective programs and best practices among providers and the public, and
 - promote the sustainability of such programs throughout the state.
- Energy Express - AmeriCorps Energy Express is a 6-week summer literacy and nutrition program for children living in West Virginia’s rural and low-income communities, operated through the West Virginia University Extension Service (West Virginia University Extension Service, 2020a). College students serving as Energy Express mentors help children to practice reading skills through creative and captivating uses of art, drama and vocabulary. Students attend Energy Express each day, where they practice reading, writing, teamwork, creativity and other essential skills in order to stop the “summer slide” many struggle with. With the help of volunteers and mentors, students enjoy a daily read aloud to further enhance comprehension and fluency. Families and guardians are also encouraged to get involved with their children, reading aloud to them and encouraging them to practice reading and writing over the duration of the program. Mentors make home visits and Due to COVID-19, during the summer of 2020 Energy Express went fully remote and partnered with West Virginia Public Broadcasting to air 30-minute episodes on literacy, STEM, and more.
 - Mobile Feed and Read Programs - The Beverly Bookmobile in Randolph County runs routes to rural areas in the community without access to public libraries or easy access to fresh groceries. The bookmobile parks in a community and provides free books and free fresh produce from local farmers to families.
 - Read Aloud WV - Read Aloud West Virginia motivates children to read for fun by sending 1,000 volunteer readers into classrooms each week; by distributing thousands of books and magazines to children each year, stressing choice and book ownership; by showing the public the importance of reading early and often; and by supporting teachers and their students. In response to the extended disruption of school, the group launched Read Aloud Families where families sign up to receive monthly shipments of books that children choose, as well as helpful reading nudges informed by research on habits and motivation. This effort evolved from a previous research-based project to prevent summer learning loss. It is immediately scaleable, ideal for partnerships, and continues whether schools are open in whatever capacity.
 - For the 2020-2021 school year, the following counties are eligible for the Rural and Low Income Schools (RLIS) portion of the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) funding. Please see an explanation of Title V, RLIS, and REAP funding below table.

WV counties eligible for the Rural and Low Income Schools (RLIS) portion of REAP funding for the 20-21 academic year				
Barbour	Grant	Logan	Pendelton	Tucker
Boone	Greenbrier	Mason	Pocahontas	Tyler
Braxton	Hampshire	Mercer	Preston	Upshur
Calhoun	Harrison	Mingo	Randolph	Webster
Clay	Jackson	Monroe	Ritchie	Wetzel
Doddridge	Lewis	McDowell	Roane	Wirt
Gilmer	Lincoln	Nicholas	Taylor	Wyoming

Note: Data from International Literacy Association’s (2016) Every Student Succeeds Act Advocacy Toolkit

- Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Title V: State Innovation and Flexibility
Title V’s focus is to help states and counties reach targeted student populations and address unique situations, including rural education (ILA, 2016). Title V matters to literacy advocates working in states where programs and grants designed to help rural education are of interest. The purpose of this Title V is to allow states and local educational agencies the flexibility to target federal funds to the programs and activities that most effectively address the unique needs of states and localities. Provisions related to transferability of funds and rural education initiatives are outlined in this title.

Title V, Part B (Sections 5201-5234), known as the Rural Education Initiative, is designed to give LEAs in rural areas more opportunity to receive funds and more flexibility in how to use funds received in ESSA (ILA, 2016). The purpose remains the same as the previous iteration—to help rural schools with their unique education needs and to ensure that they have the capacity to access competitive grant funding.

- The Small, Rural School Achievement (SRSA) program is a rural school initiative with two components. The first component is the actual awarding of funds directly to eligible LEAs from the U.S. Department of Education on a formula basis (ED, 2020b). The other component provides eligible LEAs with more flexibility in using the formula grant funds that they receive under certain state-administered federal programs, known as REAP-Flex (alternative uses of funds authority). This component does not provide for any funding but gives LEAs latitude in spending funds that they receive under other federal programs to support a wide range of local activities that support both school improvement and student achievement. Learn more about the RLIS and SRSA.
- RLIS authorizes formula grant awards to SEAs, which in turn make subgrants to eligible LEAs by formula (ED, 2020a). The RLIS program is intended to meet the unique needs of rural and low-

income counties by providing resources and flexibility to supplement selected priorities (Section 6222). The guidelines for eligibility are established by the U.S. Department of Education. An LEA is eligible if:

- 20% or more of the children ages 5 to 17 served by the LEA are from families with incomes below the poverty line.

For SRSA (ED, 2020b):

- The LEA must have a total Average Daily Attendance of fewer than 600 students
- Serve only schools that are located in counties that have a population density of fewer than 10 persons per square mile
- Serve only schools that have a National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) school locale code of 7 or 8 (as assigned by NCES)
- Be located in an area of the state defined as rural by a governmental agency of the state

For RLIS (ED, 2020a):

- Each school within the LEA must have a locale code of 6, 7, or 8 as assigned by the NCES.
- Previously, LEAs eligible for both SRSA and RLIS funding are automatically enrolled in the former.

- Leap Into Science - Leap Into Science is an evidenced-based literacy and STEM program. The Leap curriculums offer hands-on learning for children ages 3-10 through three different opportunities of making predictions, asking questions about scientific concepts, and testing predictions. The curriculum for 3-5 year old youth includes books and STEM activities that connect and encourage exploration. The curriculum for youth ages 6-10 provides books and hands-on activities to expand and encourage critical thinking. The program also includes a family engagement component. The current curriculum for Leap Into Science are: Balance, Wind, and Light and Shadows. Leap Into Science is implemented through The Franklin Institute in Philadelphia, and provider trainings are offered through the West Virginia Statewide Afterschool Network and West Virginia University Extension Service.
- 21st Century Community Learning Centers (21st CCLC) Programs - Many of the Boys and Girls Club focuses on tracking homework completion and grades and provides homework and tutoring support. These clubs' specialty is "power hour " where students complete homework with staff assisting as needed (ED, 2020c). Some 21st CCLC programs use online differential learning platforms such as I-Ready, Freckle, and APR to support literacy achievement and track improvements of students, yet others integrate experiential learning such as theater or cooking classes to increase reading skills. Many teachers, often from the same schools that offer afterschool programs, are employed to offer small group tutoring as part of the afterschool programs. Save The Children, a 21st CCLC funding recipient and national non-profit, has literacy, math, and social-emotional programs in 7 after school sites and soon to have 10 after school sites. The Save The

Children programs are for kindergarteners through sixth grades, and features a curriculum built around the Literacy Block – an hour of activities supporting increased reading achievement, including guided independent reading practice, fluency-building support and listening to books read aloud.

- Attendance initiatives - Wyoming County created the “Have You Talked with a Child Today” initiative. Wyoming County Schools principals encourage all staff members to not only have conversations every day with students about attendance, but to also reframe those conversations. Instead of asking “where were you yesterday,” Wyoming County staff now begin conversations with positive comments such as, “It is so good to see you back today. We missed you yesterday!” Principals require staff members to ask the following questions, which are posted in high-traffic areas around the schools, daily: 1. Did I talk with a student? 2. Did I talk with a family member? Principals and teachers have reported an increase in average daily attendance since implementing this initiative because children feel “noticed and important.” For other ideas for improving attendance throughout the school year, visit the [Attendance Toolkit](#).

Part V: Teacher Professional Learning

High-quality literacy instruction begins with effective teacher preparation and professional learning opportunities. This section highlights critical features of effective professional learning that could be helpful to applicants when planning to implement a new project. Please note that preference will be given to projects that have a teacher professional learning component in them.

- In 2017, the Learning Policy Institute published a report titled [Effective Teacher Professional Development](#). This report notes that teacher professional learning is of increasing interest to support the increasingly complex skills students need to learn in preparation for further education and work in the 21st century. In turn, effective professional development (PD) is needed to help teachers learn and refine the pedagogies required to teach these skills. This report identifies seven key features of effective teacher professional development (Darling-Hammond, Hyler, Gardner, & Espinoza, 2017):
 - Is content focused
 - Incorporates active learning utilizing adult learning theory
 - Supports collaboration, typically in job-embedded contexts
 - Uses models and modeling of effective practice
 - Provides coaching and expert support
 - Offers opportunities for feedback and reflection
 - Is of sustained duration

- In the recent ILA Literacy Leadership Brief, Democratizing Professional Growth With Teachers: From Development to Learning, the organization describes a transition between traditional teacher professional development and professional learning. This new take on professional learning is grounded in the notion that teachers are also learners and acknowledges the following (ILA, 2018a):
 - Teachers can model and enact the processes of active inquiry, critical thinking, and problem solving with their students
 - Teachers are active agents in an immersive, sustained process of learning
 - Enabled by social media and other technologies for collaboration, teachers are now able to define their own learning networks and engage in substantive dialogue and inquiry with other educators both in their own school and beyond.

- Effective professional learning goes beyond the “sit and get model” and provides feedback and reflection. Engaging in coaching and coaching partnerships is one way to engage in such reflective conversations. The following articles describe the benefits of coaching in professional learning:
 - Why Coaching
 - ILA: Coaching as Hands-On PD
 - How Three Schools View the Success of Literacy Coaching: Teachers’, Principals’ and Literacy Coaches’ Perceived Indicators of Success
 - Four perceived indicators of success were found: growth in student achievement, improved teaching, an increase in professional dialogue in a safe environment, and a commitment to the literacy coach (Ferguson, 2014).

- National Board Certification - Currently, 1,046 West Virginia teachers have successfully earned National Board Certification, the gold standard in the teaching profession.

Part VI: Assessing Student Literacy Learning

Projects must measure student change/growth/improvement using a pre-post assessment tool [selected by project director/organization]. Assessment plans may consist of data collected as part of the organization's existing assessment strategy or include assessment protocols specific to the project.

Selecting Assessments

ILA Literacy Leadership Brief: Literacy Assessment – What Everyone Needs to Know

The quality and utility of both summative and ongoing literacy assessments is dependent on the context and consequences of their use (ILA, 2017). To be meaningful and useful, all literacy assessments must provide some value added for teaching and learning (International Reading Association, 2010a). All assessments—regardless of purpose—should provide useful and timely information about desired literacy goals. They should be composed of authentic literacy activities as opposed to contrived texts or tasks generated specifically for assessment purposes. The quality of assessment information should not be sacrificed for the efficiency of an assessment procedure. It is incumbent upon all users and consumers of literacy assessments to interpret results within the context of the purpose for which an assessment is best suited, the specific literacy skills and knowledge being evaluated, and the potential of the assessment to improve teaching and learning.

A Consumer's Guide to Analyzing a Core Reading Program Grades K-3: A Critical Element Analysis The selection and adoption of an effective, research-based core reading program in the primary grades is a critical step in the development of an effective school wide reading initiative (Simmons & Kame'enui, 2006). The investment in identifying a core program that aligns with research and fits the needs of learners in your school will reap long-term benefits for children's reading acquisition and development. A critical review of reading programs requires objective and in-depth analysis. For these reasons, we offer the following recommendations and procedures for analyzing critical elements of programs. First, we address questions regarding the importance and process of a core program. Following, we specify the criteria for program evaluation organized by grade level and reading dimensions. Further, we offer guidelines regarding instructional time, differentiated instruction, and assessment. We trust you will find these guidelines useful and usable in this significant professional process.

Assessments Commonly Used in West Virginia

- **BRIGANCE Early Childhood** - focuses on the skills that lead to school success. Our developmental screeners and assessment inventories use observation, interviews, and child performance to pinpoint understanding in the domains tied to early development and school or kindergarten readiness. Test content aligns to many state-specific learning standards to equip educators with familiar information to fit their existing framework. Educators use our products to assist with

identifying potential delays and giftedness, supporting referrals for services, and planning individualized instruction.

- **The Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS)** – an observation instrument that assesses the quality of teacher-child interactions in center-based classrooms. [Learn more about CLASS](#)
- **Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)** - Short (one minute) fluency measures that can be used for universal screening, benchmark assessment, and progress monitoring K-8. Included: Letter Naming, Phonemic Segmentation, Nonsense Word, Word Reading, Oral Reading, and Maze passages. [Learn more about DIBELS](#)
- **Early Learning Rating System (ELRS)** – through the WV Department of Education [Learn more about ELRS](#)
- **Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening (PALS)** – comprehensive assessment of young children’s knowledge of the important literacy fundamentals that are predictive of future reading success. Available for PreK-8. [Learn more about PALS](#)
- **Star Early Literacy Assessment** - Star Early Literacy measures both early literacy and early numeracy in a single assessment, providing reliable and valid data about students’ phonological awareness, phonics, word recognition, fluency (including estimated oral reading fluency), and vocabulary as well as early number recognition, counting, and more. [Learn more about Star](#)
- **Teaching Strategies GOLD®**– An authentic, ongoing, observation-based assessment system that helps teachers and administrators focus on what matters most for children’s success. Grounded in 38 research-based objectives for development and learning, *GOLD®* supports effective teaching and assessment, while providing you with more time to spend with the children in your program. [Learn more about GOLD®](#)

Informal Literacy Assessments

- **Clay’s Observation Survey.** Early literacy assessment including letter identification, word text, concepts of print, writing vocabulary, hearing and recoding sounds in words, and text reading.
- **IRI (Informal Reading Inventory) or QRI (Qualitative Reading Inventory):** In general, IRIs are individually administered diagnostic assessments designed to evaluate several aspects of students’ reading performance including grade level reading, fluency, comprehension, vocabulary, and oral reading accuracy. IRIs typically include graded word lists and passages. Students are asked to read from graded word lists to help the administer determine the appropriate graded reading passage.

After students read the passage, they are asked to respond orally to follow-up questions to assess their comprehension and recall skills.

- There are several different IRIs to choose from, to name a few:
 - [Applegate, Quinn, & Applegate \(2008\)](#)
 - [Bader \(2005\)](#)
 - [Burns & Roe \(2005\)](#)
 - [Cooter, Flynt, & Cooter \(2007\)](#)
 - [Johns \(2005\)](#)
 - [Leslie & Caldwell \(2006\)](#)
 - [Silvaroli & Wheelock \(2004\)](#)
 - [Woods & Moe \(2007\)](#)
- **Quick Phonics Screener (QPS):** A diagnostic assessment measuring decoding skills. Skills assessed include: letter names, letter sounds, VC and CVC patterns, digraphs, CVCC, silent e, R-controlled vowels and advanced o. [Learn more about QPS.](#)
- **Words Their Way (WTW) Inventory:** This inventory (available for different grade level bands) assesses students' ability to write letters to represent the sounds they hear. Each of the inventories has a list of words that increase in complexity of spelling skills. Once the spelling inventory is given, teachers can use the Feature Guide to score and identify the student's developmental level. (From here, teachers can make an informed decision of where to begin word work skills with each student or a group of students either independently or using the Words Their Way word sort program.) Learn more about [Words Their Way.](#)

Formal Literacy Assessments

- **Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing (CTOPP):** CTOPP helps to measure phonological awareness, phonological memory, and rapid naming. Measuring this type of processing provides an early gauge for reading fluency. The test comes in two forms: one for ages five to six and one for ages seven to twenty-four. Testing can take at minimum thirty minutes but can increase depending on the number of subtests administered. Results are categorized into raw scores, standard scores, age equivalents, grade equivalents, and percentile ranks.
- **Gates-MacGintie Reading Test (Gates):** Gates measures student levels of and achievement in reading based on state and national standards. The test measures reading important reading stage along a comprehension continuum. There are two levels of the test available—Level 1 is 1:55 minutes, and Level 2 is 2:75 minutes. There are also alternate pre and post testing options. Gates is suitable for Reading First and Striving Readers programs. Online reports of the test are available in Interactive Results Manager.

- **Gray Oral Reading Test (GORT)**: GORT measures oral reading rate, reading fluency, reading accuracy, and reading comprehension. The test ranges from fifteen to forty-five minutes long and offers both pre and post testing forms. Test results provided are rate scores, accuracy scores, fluency scores, comprehension scores, standard scores, quotients, and percentiles. GORT also provides miscue analysis that can be used to drive future instruction.
- **Test of Reading Comprehension (TORC)**: TORC measures reading comprehension. There are different sections of the test for different subjects that include key vocabulary words pertaining to each subject, allowing for assessment of reading comprehension relative to subject area. The test is forty to sixty minutes in length. The results are categorized into scores for general vocabulary, syntactic similarity, paragraph reading, sentence structure, subject vocabulary, and reading directions.

Appendix A:

Components of Early Literacy – Glossary of Early Literacy Terms

The International Literacy Association (ILA), an international professional organization and recognized literacy advocacy group, provides an extensive glossary of literacy-specific terms. Below is a list of vocabulary terms most relevant to early literacy. For a full list of terms, please reference the [ILA Glossary](#).

Alphabetic principle. The concept that letters or groups of letters in alphabetic orthographies (i.e., written systems) represent the phonemes (sounds) of spoken language.

Community literacy. Involves the development of literacy and learning skills for any individual or group of individuals outside of the formal education system. It is learning that happens in the context of home and community, and it happens as a collective approach.

Concepts about print. Understandings of written language acquired by young children as they interact with literate persons and text and as a result of their membership in a literate society. For example, in English, children learn how print is organized (i.e., top to bottom, left to right), the purpose of the spaces between letters and punctuation, and the relationship of graphics and text. As they develop as readers, children acquire concepts such as letters, words, and sentences.

Decoding (reading). (1) Using one or more strategies to identify a printed word and its meaning; (2) Using knowledge of the logic of the written symbol system (especially letter–sound relationships and patterns in alphabetic orthographies) to translate print into speech; encoding involves translating speech into print using this knowledge.

Early intervention. Includes a range of targeted services designed to identify reading difficulties that might interfere with learning. Providing early intervention services helps children acquire these missing skills and knowledge and increases their chances for future learning success. (*Note:* Early literacy intervention may be something different, as it may not mean cognitive or health risk factors but reading difficulty.)

Emergent literacy. Early reading and writing behaviors (e.g., scribble writing and pretend reading), knowledge (e.g., a book is a source of a story or information), and attitudes (e.g., question asking about neighborhood signs) are demonstrated by individuals as precursors of conventional literacy. The term is often used to characterize those aspects of literacy that develop without any formal instruction but rather through a stimulating environment. The concept reflects an appreciation for the notion that literacy development begins well before formal instruction.

Encoding (writing). Involves translating speech into print using the knowledge of the logic of the written symbol system (especially letter–sound relationships and patterns in alphabetic orthographies).

Family literacy. The home literacy activities of families. The term is also used to refer to literacy education programs that focus on developing the literacy skills of families and children simultaneously.

Fluency. The ability to act (speak, read, write) with ease and accuracy. Research indicates that oral reading fluency is the ability to read text accurately, with sufficient speed, prosody, and expression. It is an essential component of reading because it permits the reader to focus on constructing meaning from the text rather than on decoding words.

Formative assessment. The continuing study of student learning in an instructional program as it moves toward its goals and objectives by monitoring the learning progress of its participants. Diagnostic testing and various formal and informal assessment procedures can be used to identify needed adjustments to the teaching and learning activities.

Language acquisition. The process by which humans obtain competence in the use of language. (*Note:* Contemporary theories and studies of language acquisition, especially by young children, are foundational in current conceptions of literacy development in and out of school.) (*cf.* **language learning**)

Listening. The act of understanding spoken language.

Literacy. The ability to identify, understand, interpret, create, compute, and communicate using visual, audible, and digital materials across disciplines and in any context. Over time, literacy has been applied to a wide range of activities and appears as computer literacy, math literacy, or dietary literacy; in such contexts, it refers to basic knowledge of rather than to anything specific to reading and writing.

Literacy practices. Diverse forms of interacting with text that enable individuals to accomplish a range of purposes and attain personal benefits in ways that are shaped by cultural contexts and language structures.

Motivation. The goals, values, beliefs, and dispositions that energize behavior, elicit cognitions, and regulate literacy processes and learning.

Phonemic awareness. The ability to detect and manipulate the smallest units (i.e., phonemes) of spoken language. For example, recognition that the word cat includes three distinct sounds or phonemes represents phonemic awareness. Individuals with phonemic awareness can blend phonemes to form spoken words, segment spoken words into their constituent phonemes, delete phonemes from spoken words, add phonemes, and substitute phonemes.

Phonetics. The study of speech sounds. (*See also* **phonology**)

Phonics. An approach to teaching reading that emphasizes the systematic relationship between the sounds of language and the graphemes (i.e., letters or letter combinations) that represent those sounds. Learners apply this knowledge to decode printed words.

Phonological awareness. Awareness of sounds of words in learning to read and spell. (Note: The constituents of words can be distinguished in three ways: (1) by syllables, as /boʊk/, (2) by onsets and rimes, as /b/ and /oʊk/, or (3) by phonemes, as /b/ and /oʊ/ and /k/. (cf. **phonemic awareness**)

Phonology. The study of speech sounds and their functions in a language or languages.

Reading. The process of simultaneously extracting and constructing meaning through interaction and involvement with written language. We use the words extracting and constructing to emphasize both the importance and the insufficiency of the text as a determinant of reading comprehension.

Science of reading. *Science of reading* refers to a corpus of objective investigation and accumulation of reliable evidence about how humans learn to read and how reading should be taught.

Speaking. The act of communicating through producing oral–aural language.

Spoken language. Language used in speaking as distinct from writing. It can also imply a style of language that is written as if spoken, as in conversation or dialogue. (See also **written language**)

Systematic instruction. Systematic instruction in reading is a plan of instruction (e.g., scope and sequence) that takes students through an explicit sequence of learning activities.

Vocabulary. The words known or used by a person or group or a set of words compiled from written or oral sources. Contrast this definition to that of lexicon, which is all the words of a language.

Writing. The process of recording language graphically by hand or other means, as by letters, logograms, and other symbols.

Written language. The representation of language through a writing system that corresponds with specific symbols and structures that govern and organize usage.

Note: Definitions from ILA (2020b).

Appendix B:

West Virginia Student Achievement Maps

Figure 1:




Figure 2:

3rd Grade Reading Achievement & Special Education Enrollment SY 2019






Figure 3:

3rd Grade Reading Proficiency Within-District Variation SY 2019



Data Source: West Virginia Department of Education SY2019 Achievement data, accessed April, 2020

Figure 4:



Appendix C:

West Virginia Public Schools PreK – 3 Low SES Data by County

WV PUBLIC SCHOOL PREK – 3 STUDENT LOW SES DATA

REGION	TOTAL STUDENTS	NUMBER OF LOW SES STUDENTS	PERCENTAGE OF LOW SES STUDENTS
STATE OF WV	87532	45779	52.3%
COUNTY			
Barbour	783	415	53.0%
Berkeley	6494	3087	47.5%
Boone	1112	656	59.0%
Braxton	667	383	57.4%
Brooke	744	363	48.8%
Cabell	4198	2197	52.3%
Calhoun	333	182	54.7%
Clay	554	340	61.4%
Doddridge	385	199	51.7%
Fayette	2007	1181	58.8%
Gilmer	259	108	41.7%
Grant	534	291	54.5%
Greenbrier	1561	883	56.6%
Hampshire	966	519	53.7%
Hancock	1286	609	47.4%
Hardy	746	477	63.9%
Harrison	3461	1622	46.9%
Jackson	1487	697	46.9%
Jefferson	2762	1141	41.3%
Kanawha	8210	4867	59.3%
Lewis	908	554	61.0%
Lincoln	1145	703	61.4%
Logan	1763	1176	66.7%
Marion	2713	1354	49.9%
Marshall	1535	664	43.3%
Mason	1319	702	53.2%
Mercer	3052	1857	60.8%
Mineral	1380	644	46.7%
Mingo	1407	914	65.0%
Monongalia	4087	1386	33.9%
Monroe	572	312	54.5%
Morgan	704	381	54.1%
McDowell	950	627	66.0%
Nicholas	1186	682	57.5%

Ohio	1764	866	49.1%
Pendleton	277	122	44.0%
Pleasants	371	168	45.3%
Pocahontas	323	183	56.7%
Preston	1514	680	44.9%
Putnam	3086	1187	38.5%
Raleigh	3884	2190	56.4%
Randolph	1369	786	57.4%
Ritchie	431	245	56.8%
Roane	636	341	53.6%
Summers	447	323	72.3%
Taylor	831	389	46.8%
Tucker	326	150	46.0%
Tyler	422	206	48.8%
Upshur	1283	732	57.1%
Wayne	2237	1226	54.8%
Webster	430	262	60.9%
Wetzel	827	496	60.0%
Wirt	328	170	51.8%
Wood	4141	2193	53.0%
WVSDB	25	14	56.0%
Wyoming	1310	677	51.7%

Data Source: WVDE, SY 2019-2020

Appendix D:

Additional Resources

- The Collaborative Classroom published a white paper titled The Settled Science of Teaching Reading, which explains the importance of literacy instruction that supports the developmental process of reading on a continuum of learning (Stukey, Fugnitto, Fraser, & Sawyer). As such, the paper explores:
 - The importance of explicit and systematic decoding instruction
 - The most effective format for phonics instruction
 - The role of comprehension and the ways in which students build a body of knowledge
 - The connection between research and classroom practice

- COVID-19 Related Resources
 - Partnering with Schools to Reopen and Meet Students Needs
 - Reaching Out to School Leaders about Reopening
 - A School Year Like No Other Demands a New Learning Day: A Blueprint for How Afterschool Programs & Community Partners Can Help
 - COVID National Fact Sheet
 - ILA's Literacy Teaching in Turbulent Times webinar

- Education Commission of the States - Early Childhood Education Reports

The early years are critical for building strong foundations – research shows that disparities in children’s learning are evident as early as nine months of age – and for creating a springboard to later developments and academic success. States play a role in crafting policies and guidance that help to make the early years a cohesive, high-quality experience for children, regardless of their geographical location, race, or income. These recent reports from the Education Commission of the States provide important context and legislative examples that aim to ensure such experiences for children in the early years.

- The International Literacy Association’s What’s Hot in Literacy 2020 Report

The International Literacy Association is a global advocacy and membership organization of more than 300,000 literacy educators, researchers, and experts across 146 countries. Its mission is to empower educators, inspire students, and encourage leaders with the resources they need to make literacy accessible for all.

The ILA 2020 What’s Hot in Literacy Report goes beyond a ranked list of trending topics to offer a deeper look at what literacy professionals think about the issues most critical to the future of effective, high-quality literacy instruction (2020d). Below are some highlights and key takeaways

from the biennial survey, which includes data from 1,4443 respondents from 65 countries and territories, including teachers (56%), higher education professionals (37%), literacy consultants (19%), and Pre-K-12 administrators (10%).

The following are the most commonly chosen topics that respondents selected as most important in improving literacy outcomes in the next decade (ILA, 2020d):

- Determining effective instructional strategies for struggling readers (66%)
- Building early literacy skills through a balanced approach that combines both foundational and language comprehension instruction (65%)
- Increasing equity and opportunity for all learners (59%)
- Providing access to high-quality, diverse books and content (59%)
- Increasing professional learning and development opportunities for practicing educators (58%)

Respondents were then asked to rank the above chosen topics. The following includes highlights of which topics respondents felt were most critical to the improvement of literacy achievement (ILA, 2020d):

- A majority (51%) said a balanced approach that combines both foundational and language comprehension instruction is among the most critical topics for improving literacy outcomes in the next decade, with 47% saying the topic doesn't receive enough attention.
- When it comes to explicit and systematic phonics instruction, only 32% said it is among the most critical topics. And respondents are split in how much attention the topic is given, with 31% saying we should be paying more attention to phonics instruction and another 24% saying it already gets too much.
- Regarding professional development, 39% of respondents would like more PD and/or a greater understanding of a balanced approach, while 30% would like more PD and/or a greater understanding of explicit and systematic phonics instruction.

Challenges facing literacy (ILA, 2020d):

- When respondents were asked to choose what support they need to address challenges in literacy, more than anything else, they chose more time for teachers to collaborate with other educators facing similar challenges. Sixty percent of teachers and 65% of literacy consultants believe additional time with colleagues can ensure the greatest challenges in literacy are addressed. All other needs respondents pointed to fall into the categories of assessments and interventions, access to materials, and professional development.
- When it comes to teacher preparation programs, a minority of respondents—only 40%—say that they are equipping today's educators with the skills they need for effective early reading instruction. Those who work closest with students and their families feel most strongly about the need for improvements to teacher preparation programs. Only 27% of pre-K–12

administrators agree that teacher preparation programs are adequately preparing teachers, followed by teachers (34%), literacy consultants (36%), and higher education professionals (54%). This wide range suggests a significant disconnect between the people who are training the next generation of educators and educators themselves.

- Issues related to reading instruction are among the greatest challenges in literacy, with the biggest hurdles including supporting students reading below grade level (48%), supporting English learners (37%), and teaching students how to read (20%). To help level that playing field, 50% of literacy professionals say the support they need is academic interventions for students who require them, while 31% say they need diagnostic assessment tools and expertise in using them. The topic of differentiating instruction is also among the top challenges, with 40% citing the greatest challenge as designing specific differentiated literacy instruction and 29% pointing to managing multiple small groups of instruction.
 - Professional development and/or a greater understanding of ways to differentiate instruction is identified by 50% of respondents as a support that is needed to address literacy challenges.
 - Access to books in general is viewed by respondents as a top barrier to equity in literacy. Given this, it's not surprising that 42% of literacy professionals say more support is needed in getting access to high-quality instructional materials and 32% say more support is needed in gaining access to more authentic texts.
- ILA's Children's Rights to Read (2020a) states that children deserve access to the education, opportunities, and resources needed to read. ILA has developed the following ten rights (ILA, 2020a):
 1. Children have the basic human right to read.
 2. Children have the right to access texts in print and digital formats.
 3. Children have the right to choose what they read.
 4. Children have the right to read texts that mirror their experiences and languages, provide windows into the lives of others, and open doors into our diverse world.
 5. Children have the right to read for pleasure.
 6. Children have the right to supportive reading environments with knowledgeable literacy partners.
 7. Children have the right to extended time set aside for reading.
 8. Children have the right to share what they learn through reading by collaborating with others locally and globally.
 9. Children have the right to read as a springboard for other forms of communication, such as writing, speaking, and visually representing.
 10. Children have the right to benefit from the financial and material resources of governments, agencies, and organizations that support reading and reading instruction.

- The International Literacy Association (2019a) published a Literacy Leadership Brief titled Meeting the Challenges of Early Literacy Phonics Instruction, which outlines the importance of explicit and systematic phonics instruction and describes seven key characteristics of effective phonics instruction:
 - Readiness skills
 - Scope and sequence
 - Blending
 - Dictation
 - Word Awareness
 - High frequency words
 - Reading connected text

- The National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) is a professional membership organization that works to promote high-quality early learning for all young children, Birth through Age 8, by connecting early childhood practice, policy, and research. They advance a diverse, dynamic early childhood profession and support all who care for, educate, and work on behalf of young children. The association comprises nearly 60,000 individual members of the early childhood community and more than 50 Affiliates, all committed to delivering on the promise of high-quality early learning. Together, we work to achieve a collective vision: that all young children thrive and learn in a society dedicated to ensuring they reach their full potential.

- The National Council of Teachers of English's (2008) policy brief, Writing Now, addresses the notion that writing, a necessary skill, cannot be taught by applying a single approach due to the diverse backgrounds and knowledge and learning styles of learners. NCTE applies the outlines the following:
 - Writing is holistic and instruction should be integrated.
 - Writing is authentic and allows writers to think about ideas and understand new information
 - Writing is varied and so are writers

- National Institute for Early Education Research (NIEER) - How Much Can High-Quality Universal Pre-K Reduce Achievement Gaps? Recognizing the tremendous potential for high-quality preschool to improve children's outcomes, this report considers how a universal publicly funded prekindergarten program in the United States could decrease both disparities in access to early learning and achievement gaps at kindergarten entry (Friedman-Krauss, Barnett, & Nores, 2016). Data from two nationally representative datasets and prior results from evaluations of high-quality universal pre-kindergarten were analyzed to estimate the extent to which a national high-quality universal pre-K, or UPK, program would reduce achievement gaps at kindergarten entry based on children's race/ethnicity and income.

- National Institute for Early Education Research (NIEER) – The State of Preschool 2019
New research finds pre-K leaders include both “Red” and “Blue” states, offering optimism for future progress. The report warns of long-term damage to pre-K from the current health and economic crisis and offers five policy recommendations to support state pre-K (NIEER, 2019, p. 173).

- The National Early Literacy Panel convened in 2002 to synthesize the most rigorous scientific research on the development of early literacy skills in children from Birth to Age 5. The National Institute for Literacy compiled and reviewed these findings in Early Beginnings Early Literacy Knowledge and Instruction. The early literacy skills that NELP found to be most important for the later development of literacy skills such as decoding, oral reading fluency, reading comprehension, writing, and spelling (NIL, 2009).
 - Knowing the names of printed letters and the sounds associated with them.
 - Being able to manipulate the sounds of spoken language—breaking words apart into smaller sound units such as syllables or phonemes, adding or deleting sound units
 - Being able to rapidly name a sequence of letters, numbers, objects, or colors
 - Being able to write one’s own name or even isolated letters
 - Being able to remember the content of spoken language for a short time
 - Knowing some of the conventions of English print, including how to use a book or other printed materials
 - Being able to recognize and identify environmental print
 - Knowing how to put concepts, thoughts, and ideas into spoken words, and understanding other people when they talk
 - Being able to see similarities and differences between visual symbols, i.e., visual processing

- The National Reading Panel (2000) published the report, Teaching Children to Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature and its Implication for Reading Instruction, which identifies the following skills as most important for children to become good readers:
 - Phonemic awareness
 - Phonics skills
 - The ability to read words in a text in an accurate and fluent manner
 - The ability to apply comprehension strategies consciously and deliberately as they read

- NCTE – Equity and Early Childhood Education: Reclaiming the Child (2016) is a research brief which states that equitable early childhood education is achieved when strength-based views of children are foundational, when local and family knowledge is revered, when children are assessed in authentic ways and in fair amounts, and when differences among children’s racial, ethnic, linguistic, religious, class, sexual orientation, family structure, physical/mental ability, etc. are recognized, understood, and leveraged (NCTE, 2016). Additionally, equitable early childhood education is achieved when young children are taught to notice, name, and interrupt unfair practices around race, ethnicity, language, class, ability, sexual orientation, etc.

- Teaching Reading is Rocket Science (2020) was published by the American Federation of Teachers and Center for Development and Learning as an update to their original piece, published in 1999. Together they acknowledge that, although some progress has been made in teaching reading effectively, too few students who are at-risk, disadvantaged, and BIPOC become proficient readers. In support of implementing the science of reading, the organizations note the importance of a core curriculum on effective literacy instruction for pre-service and in-service teachers, which includes (Moats, 2020):
 - Knowing the basics of reading psychology and development
 - Understanding language structure for both word recognition and language comprehension
 - Applying best practices in all components of reading instruction
 - Using validated, reliable, efficient assessments to inform classroom teaching.

Additionally, the report states that educators who are equipped to ensure reading success in the vast majority of their students will feel empowered and rewarded. To achieve that goal, a range of initiatives needs to be considered (Moats, 2020):

- Use research to guide the profession.
 - Establish core professional standards, curricula, and entry level assessments for new teachers.
 - Align teacher education curricula, standards for students, and licensing requirements for teachers.
 - Create professional development institutes for professors and master teachers.
 - Press the developers of textbooks and instructional materials to improve their products.
 - Promote high-quality professional development for teachers.
 - Invest in teaching.
- Teaching Writing to Improve Reading Skills (2020), published by the International Literacy Association (2020c), describes the reciprocal relationship between reading and writing.
 - Elementary grade students should write 30 minutes a day.
 - Students need opportunities to write for real audiences/real purposes
 - Writing facilitate comprehension of text
 - Process of writing includes planning, drafting, revising, and editing
 - Third Grade Reading Laws: Implementation and Impact - Recognizing the critical importance of early literacy, the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) and the Center on Enhancing Early Learning Outcomes (CEELO) have both worked intensively with states on Birth through third grade improvement strategies across literacy and other learning domains. Third grade reading laws are one strategy that many states have employed to address early literacy challenges; at least 26 states have passed third grade reading laws over the past 20 years (CCSSO, 2019). This brief is intended to

give an overview of the current status of state third grade reading laws and policies, with the goal of supporting states' early literacy goals. The goal of these policies is to improve reading outcomes by bringing attention and resources to early literacy, and by recommending or requiring some combination of prevention, intervention, and/or retention.

- Prevention: Efforts to increase the overall quality of reading instruction and to build more effective systems to support early literacy and child development.
- Intervention: Efforts to identify and diagnose reading difficulties early in individual students and provide them with targeted additional supports in or out of the classroom to help them get on track for reading before the end of third grade.
- Retention: Requirements that a student not advance past third grade if her or she cannot demonstrate reading proficiency. Retention requirements are intended to create stronger incentives for schools and teachers to focus on early literacy instruction and intervention, and to ensure all students enter fourth grade with strong reading skills.

REFERENCES

- Afterschool Alliance (2016, March). *Dollar General Afterschool Literacy Issue Brief*, (68). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED582339.pdf>.
- Afterschool Alliance (2017, November). *What Does the Research Say about Afterschool?*. <http://afterschoolalliance.org/documents/What Does the Research Say About Afterschool.pdf>.
- Afterschool Alliance (2020a). *Afterschool and Summer Learning Programs are Essential for Recovery: Factsheet for Making the Case*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <http://www.afterschoolalliance.org/afterschoolSnack/Afterschool-and-summer-learning-programs-are-essential-for-06-25-2020.cfm>.
- Afterschool Alliance (2020b). *How Afterschool Supports Students' Learning* [Handout]. <http://afterschoolalliance.org/documents/How-Afterschool-Supports-Students-Learning.pdf>.
- Afterschool Alliance (2020c). *Partnering with Schools to Reopen and Meet Students' Needs* [Handout]. <http://afterschoolalliance.org/documents/Partnering-with-Schools-to-Reopen-and-Meet-Student-Needs.pdf>.
- Afterschool Alliance (2020d). *Reaching Out to School Leaders about Reopening*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <http://afterschoolalliance.org/covid/reopening-outreach.cfm>.
- Afterschool Alliance (2020e). *A School Year Like No Other Demands a New Learning Day: A Blueprint for How Afterschool Programs & Community Partners can Help* [Handout]. <http://www.afterschoolalliance.org/documents/Blueprint-for-How-Afterschool-Programs-Community-Partners-Can-Help.pdf>.
- America After 3PM (2014). *Executive Summary: The Growing Importance of Afterschool in Rural Communities*. http://www.afterschoolalliance.org/AA3PM/Afterschool_in_Rural_Communities_Executive_Summary.pdf.
- America After 3PM (2016, March). *America After 3PM Special Report: The Growing Importance of Afterschool in Rural Communities*. http://www.afterschoolalliance.org/AA3PM/Afterschool_in_Rural_Communities.pdf.
- American Institutes for Research (2019, September). *The Science of Learning and Development in Afterschool Systems and Settings*. <https://www.air.org/sites/default/files/downloads/report/Science-of-learning-and-development-afterschool-settings-2019-rev.pdf>.

- Atchison, B., Zinth, J.D., & Weyer M. (2020, January 22). *Enhancing STEM in P-3 Education*. Education Commission of the States. <https://www.ecs.org/enhancing-stem-in-p-3-education/>.
- Beyond the Bell (2015a). *Supporting Social and Emotional Development through Quality Afterschool Programs: Research to Action in the Afterschool Expanded Learning Field: Executive Summary*. American Institutes for Research. <https://www.air.org/sites/default/files/Supporting-Social-Emotional-Learning-Through-Quality-Afterschool-ExecSum.pdf>.
- Beyond the Bell (2015b). *Supporting Social and Emotional Development through Quality Afterschool Programs: Research to Practice in the Afterschool and Expanded Learning Field*. American Institutes for Research. <https://www.air.org/sites/default/files/downloads/report/Social-and-Emotional-Development-Afterschool-Programs.pdf>.
- Council of Chief State School Officers (2019). *Third Grade Reading laws: Implementation and Impact*. Council of Chief State School Officers & Center on Enhancing Early Learning Outcomes. <https://ccsso.org/sites/default/files/201908/CCSSO%20CE%20ELO%20third%20grade%20reading.pdf>.
- Cunningham, A.E. & O'Donnell, C.R. (2015). Teachers' Knowledge about Beginning Reading Development and Instruction. In A. Pollatsek & R. Treiman (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Reading* (pp.447-462). Oxford University Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M.E., Gardner, M., & Espinoza, D. (2017, June). *Effective Teacher Professional Development*. Learning Policy Institute. https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/sites/default/files/productfiles/Effective_Teacher_Professional_Development_REPORT.pdf.
- Diamond, K.E., Justice, L.M., Siegler, R.S., & Snyder, P.A. (2013, July). *Synthesis of IES Research on Early Intervention and Early Childhood Education*. U.S. Department of Education & Institute of Educational Sciences. https://ies.ed.gov/ncser/pubs/2013_3001/pdf/20133001.pdf.
- Education Advisory Board (2019). *Narrowing the Third-grade Reading Gap: Embracing the Science of Reading, District Leadership Forum: Research briefing*. The Advisory Board Company. https://pages.eab.com/rs/732GKV655/images/Narrowing%20the%20ThirdGrade%20Reading%20Gap_research%20briefing.pdf.
- Expanded Learning & Afterschool Project (2013). *About the Compendium*. <https://www.expandinglearning.org/expandingminds>.
- Ferguson, K. (2014). How Three Schools View the Success of Literacy Coaching: Teachers', Principals' and Literacy Coaches' Perceived Indicators of Success. *Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts* 53(1), Article 9.

- Friedman-Krauss, A. H., Barnett, W. S., Garver, K. A., Hodges, K. S., Weisenfeld, G. G. & Gardiner, B. A. (2019). *The State of Preschool 2019: State Preschool Yearbook*. National Institute for Early Education Research. http://nieer.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/07/YB2019_Full_Report.pdf.
- Friedman-Krauss, A., Barnett, W.S., & Nores, M. (2016, April). *How Much can High-quality Universal Pre-K Reduce Achievement gaps?* Center for American Progress & National Institute for Early Education Research. <http://nieer.org/wpcontent/uploads/2017/01/NIEER-Achievement-Gaps-report.pdf>.
- Hanover Research (2014). *Best Practices in K-12 Literacy Models*. <https://www.hanoverresearch.com/media/Best-Practices-in-K-12-LiteracyModels.pdf>.
- Hill, A.J., & Jones, D.B. (2018). A Teacher who Knows Me: The Academic Benefits of Repeat Student-Teacher Matches. *Economics of Education Review*, 64, 1-12.
- International Literacy Association (2010). *Standards for the Preparation of Literacy Professionals* (Rev. ed.)
- International Literacy Association (2016). *Advocacy Toolkit: Every Student Succeeds Act*. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-essa-toolkit.pdf>.
- International Literacy Association (2017). *Literacy Leadership Brief: What Everyone Needs to Know*. https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/literacy-assessment-brief.pdf?sfvrsn=efd4a68e_4.
- International Literacy Association (2018a). *Literacy Leadership Brief: Democratizing Professional Growth with Teachers from Development to Learning*. <https://literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-democratizing-professional-growth-with-teachers.pdf>.
- International Literacy Association (2018b). *Literacy Leadership Brief: Improving Digital Practices for Literacy, Learning, and Justice: More than Just Tools*. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-improving-digital-practices-literacy-learning-justice.pdf>.
- International Literacy Association (2018c). *Standards for the Preparation of Literacy Professionals* (Rev. ed.).
- International Literacy Association (2018d). *What Effective Pre-K Literacy Instruction Looks Like: Literacy Leadership Brief*. <https://literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-what-effective-pre-k-literacy-instruction-looks-like.pdf>.

- International Literacy Association (2019a). *Literacy Leadership Brief: Meeting the Challenges of Early Literacy Phonics Instruction*. https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-meeting-challenges-early-literacy-phonics-instruction.pdf?sfvrsn=8847b88e_6.
- International Literacy Association (2019b). *Phonological Awareness in Early Childhood Literacy Development: Position Statement and Research Brief*. https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-phonological-awareness-early-childhood-literacy-development.pdf?sfvrsn=f4f1bf8e_4.
- International Literacy Association (2019c). *Position Statement and Research Brief: Digital Resources in Early Childhood Literacy Development*. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-digital-resources-early-childhood-literacy-development.pdf>.
- International Literacy Association (2020a). *Children's Rights to Read*. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/get-resources/childrens-rights-to-read>.
- International Literacy Association (2020b). *Literacy Glossary*. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/get-resources/literacy-glossary>.
- International Literacy Association (2020c). *Research Advisory: Teaching Writing to Improve Reading Skills*. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/where-we-stand/ila-teaching-writing-to-improve-reading-skills.pdf>.
- International Literacy Association (2020d). *What's Hot in Literacy: 2020 Report*. https://literacyworldwide.org/docs/default-source/resourcedocuments/whatshotreport_2020_final.pdf.
- Leaders of Literacy Campaign for Grade-Level Reading (2020). *Extended Learning Opportunities Toolkit*. West Virginia Department of Education, Office of Early Learning. <https://wvde.state.wv.us/leaders-of-literacy/static/doc/extended-learning-toolkit.pdf>.
- Malatesha Joshi, R., Binks, E., Hougen, M., Dahlgren, M.E., Ocker-Dean, E, & Smith, D.L. (2009). Why Elementary Teachers Might be Inadequately Prepared to Teach Reading. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 42(5), 392-402.
- Moats, L.C. (2020). *Teaching Reading is Rocket Science, 2020: What expert teachers of reading should know and be able to do*. American Federation of Teachers & Center for Development and Learning. <https://www.aft.org/sites/default/files/moats.pdf>.
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (2019). *NAEP Report Card: Reading: National Average Scores*. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. <https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading/nation/scores/?grade=4>.

- National Assessment of Educational Progress. (2019). *NAEP Report Card: West Virginia Overview*. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/profiles/stateprofile/overview/WV?cti=PgTab_OT&chart=1&sub=MAT&sj=WV&fs=Grade&st=MN&year=2019R3&sg=Gender%3A+Male+vs.+Female&sgv=Difference&ts=Single+Year&tss=2019R3-2019R3&sfj=NP.
- National Association for the Education of Young Children (2009). *NAEYC Standards for Early Childhood Professional Preparation*. https://www.naeyc.org/sites/default/files/globally-shared/downloads/PDFs/resources/positionstatements/2009%20Professional%20Prep%20stdsRevised%204_12.pdf.
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (2020). *National Board Certification*. <https://www.nbpts.org/national-board-certification/>.
- National Council of Teachers of English (2008). *Writing Now: A Policy Research Brief Produced by the National Council of Teachers of English*. <https://cdn.ncte.org/nctefiles/resources/policyresearch/wrtgresearchbrief.pdf>.
- National Council of Teachers of English (2016). *Equity and Early Childhood Education: Reclaiming the Child: A Research Policy Brief*. <https://cdn.ncte.org/nctefiles/equityearlyedbrief.pdf>.
- National Early Literacy Panel (2008). *Developing Early Literacy: Report of the National Early Literacy Panel*. U.S. Department of Education, National Institute for Literacy. <https://lincs.ed.gov/publications/pdf/NELPReport09.pdf>.
- National Institute for Literacy (2009). *Early Beginnings: Early Literacy Knowledge and Instruction*. <https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/publications/pubs/documents/NELPEarlyBeginnings09.pdf>.
- National Reading Panel (2000). *Teaching Children to Read: An Evidence-based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature on Reading and its Implications for Reading Instruction*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. <https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/publications/pubs/nrp/Documents/report.pdf>.
- Nores, M., Kwanghee, J., & Allenger, M. (2019, September 10). *West Virginia Universal Pre-K Evaluation: Year 4*. Rutgers Graduate School of Education, National Institute for Early Education Research.
- Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening Resource Center (2020). *About PALS Assessments*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://palsresource.info/assessments/>.
- Popov, V., Tinkler, T., Tore, A., & Meschen, C. (2017, November). *The Role of Books and Reading in STEM: An Overview of the Benefits for Children and the Opportunities to Enhance the Field*.

STEM Next Opportunity Fund & The Molina Foundation. https://stemnext.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/STEM-Literacy_VP-November-2017-Final.V3.pdf.

Shanahan, T. & Lonigan, C.J. (2010, May). The National Early Literacy Panel: A Summary of the Process and the Report. *Educational Researcher*, 39(4), 279-285.

Simmons, D.C. & Kame'enui, E.J. (2006, August). *A Consumer's Guide to Analyzing a Core Reading Program Grades K-3: A Critical Elements Analysis*. College of Education, University of Oregon, Center on Teaching and Learning. https://www.nctq.org/dmsView/consumers_guide_core_rev_855622.

Stukey, M.R., Fugnitto, G., Fraser, V., & Sawyer I. (2019). *The Settled Science of Teaching Reading*. Center for the Collaborative Classroom. https://www.collaborativeclassroom.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/MKT4419_The-Settled-Science-of-Teaching-Reading_whitepaper_final_REV.pdf.

Teachstone, Inc. (2020). *The Promise of CLASS*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://teachstone.com/class/>.

Toll, C.A. (2014). *The Literacy Coach's Survival Guide: Essential Questions and Practical Answers* (2nd ed.). International Reading Association.

Transformative System of Support for Early Literacy of 2014, 18 W.V.C. §18-2E-10 (2017).

Treiman, R. (2018). What Research Tells Us about Reading Instruction. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 19(1), 1-4.

United States Department of Education (2020a). *Rural and Low-Income School Program*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/reaprlisp/index.html>.

United States Department of Education (2020b). *Small, Rural School Achievement Program*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/reapsrsa/index.html>.

United States Department of Education (2020c). *21st Century Community Learning Centers*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/21stccclc/index.html>.

Vandell, D.L., Reisner, E.R., & Pierce, K.M. (2007, October). *Outcomes Linked to High-quality Afterschool Programs: Longitudinal Findings from the Study of Promising Afterschool Programs*. University of California, Irvine & University of Wisconsin--Madison Policy Studies Associates, Inc. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED499113.pdf>.

- Wackwitz, J. (2019, November 22). *Resource Roundup: Supporting Early Literacy Development*. Resource Educational Laboratory Program. <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/midwest/blogs/resource-roundup-early-literacy-development.aspx>.
- Wallace Foundation. (2020). *Summer learning toolkit resources* [Handout]. <https://www.wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/summer-learning/toolkit/Documents/Summer-Learning-Toolkit-Resources.pdf>.
- Wallace Foundation. (2020). *Summer learning toolkit: evidence-based tools and guidance for delivering effective programs*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://www.wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/summerlearning/toolkit/pages/default.aspx>.
- Wedenoja, L. (2019, November 21). *Teacher looping improves student outcomes*. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <https://rockinst.org/blog/teacher-looping-improves-student-outcomes/>.
- West Virginia Department of Education. (2018, January 9). *West Virginia's consolidated state plan: For the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015*. https://wvde.us/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/WV_ESSA_Plan_Response_010918_f.pdf.
- West Virginia Department of Education. (2019). *State assessment summary*. <https://zoomwv.k12.wv.us/Dashboard/dashboard/7301>.
- West Virginia Department of Education. (2020a). *Communities in Schools*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://wvde.us/cis/>.
- West Virginia Department of Education. (2020b). *Extended learning*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://wvde.us/early-and-elementary-learning/wv-leaders-of-literacy-campaign-for-grade-level-reading/extended-learning/>.
- West Virginia Department of Education. (2020c). *STEM minded WV*. Retrieved July 1, 2020 from <https://wvde.us/stemmindedwv/>.
- West Virginia Early Childhood Planning Task Force. (2014). *West Virginia measures of success: Proposed outcomes and indicators for the state's early childhood system*. West Virginia Department of Education, Early Childhood Advisory Council of West Virginia. http://www.wvecptf.org/docs/Measures%20of%20Success_FINAL.pdf.
- West Virginia Office of Early & Elementary Learning. (2020). *Attendance toolkit*. West Virginia Department of Education. <https://wvde.us/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Attendance-Toolkit-2020.pdf>.

West Virginia Department of Education Office of Early and Elementary Learning. (September 2020). *2019 State of West Virginia Pre-K*. West Virginia Department of Education and West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources. <https://wvde.us/early-and-elementary-learning/wv-early-learning-spotlight/>.

West Virginia University Extension Service. (2020a). *Energy Express*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://extension.wvu.edu/youth-family/youth-education/energy-express>.

West Virginia University Extension Service. (2020b). *W. Va. statewide afterschool network*. Retrieved July 1, 2020, from <https://extension.wvu.edu/youth-family/youth-education/statewide-afterschool-network>.

White, G. (2015, February 10). *Literacy coaching as hands-on PD*. International Literacy Association. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org/blog/literacy-now/2015/02/10/literacy-coaching-as-hands-on-pd>.